UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,846	Shaun Thomas Broering		9527L	2517
	7590 11/24/200 Gamble Company	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop	perty Division	AFTERGUT, JEFF H		
Winton Hill Ted 3110 Center Hi	chnical Center-Box 16 Il Avenue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cincinnati, OH	45224	1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/780,846	BROERING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
/Jeff H. Aftergut/	1791		

•	/Jeff H. Aftergut/	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for the peri	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	,					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons	•	ΓE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of finally rei	acted claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally rep	scied ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliante / imonamonte (	1 102 02 1/.			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but l	hefore or on the date of filing a N	atice of Anneal will not	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but one See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Jeff H. Aftergut/					
	Primary Examiner					
	Art Unit: 1791					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As expressed in the FINAL rejection, one skilled in the art of bag manufacture would have known to start with either a tubular film or a sheet material which was c-folded to manufacture the bag therefore and additionally would have been motivated to employ either a c-folded sheet or a tubular sheet to manufacture the bag. It should be noted that both were provided with an embossed pattern therein and it clearly was desirable to disengage the same subsequent to the processing as suggested by Bustin. The applicant essentially takes the position that the dynamic behavior of the tube is so vastly different than the folded sheet that one would not reasonably expect that the processing used to disengage the tube would not function for the folded sheet amterial. There is simply NOT enough evideen of the same. The applicant asserts the differences and asserts that one would not have even attempted to open (disengage) the c-folded assembly, however as expressed in the FINAL rejection it appears one would have been motivated to do the same and would have performed the disengaging step whether the starting amterial was a c-folded sheet or a flattened tube.